

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,847	08/20/2001	Sam B. Sandbote	4800P006	7431
7590 08/05/2005			EXAMINER	
SAM BRANDON SANDBOTE 3113 THOMAS AVE			MAI, TAN V	
APT # E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75204			2193	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/933,847	SANDBOTE, SAM B.				
Office Action Summar	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V. Mai	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim Failure to reply within the set or extended period fo	MUNICATION. risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may communication. ritry (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of jum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) in reply will, by statute, cause the application to become on this after the mailing date of this communication, even	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication (s	s) filed on <u>08 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to re	surction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Tr) The ball of declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	of: ority documents have been received.					
	prity documents have been received in					
•	pies of the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Total and and detailed Office of	and the second s					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	49 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice 6 6) ☐ Other: _					
Potent and Tradered - Off						

Application/Control Number: 09/933,847 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. It is noted that claims 29-45 should be **cancelled**.

2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per independent claim 1, the "end bit Ae" and "begin bit Ab" should be defined. If the "end bit Ae" and "begin bit Ab" are "least" and "most" significant bits, respectively, the "M" = "N". There is NO "arbitrary bit-field". The term "may be" (penultimate line) is indefinite. Similarly noted claim 2.

As per independent claim 15, the "end bit Ae" and "begin bit Ab" should be defined. If the "end bit Ae" and "begin bit Ab" are "least" and "most" significant bits, respectively, the "M" = "N". There is NO "arbitrary bit-field". The period "." at the end is missing.

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a method for performing a mathematical function. The claimed invention comprises a plurality of mental steps whereby the claimed mental steps are non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claimed method steps may be practiced

Application/Control Number: 09/933,847

Art Unit: 2193

mentally in conjunction with pen and paper. It is noted that independent claim 15 has an insignificant hard ware "condition code **register**".

Page 3

However, in order for such a claimed computer-related process to be statutory, the method claims must include either a step that results: (1) in a physical transformation outside the computer, (2) in a limitation to a practical application, or (3) performed specific machine/element(s). Accordingly, claims 15 and 17-27 are clearly directed to a non-statutory process.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagafugi in view of Mahalingaiah.

Nagafuji discloses, e.g., see Fig. 2, the invention substantially as claimed, including: operands (11, 12), shifter (13) and ALU (16). It is noted that Nagafuji do NOT specifically detail the claimed "condition codes derived from an ALU bit-field operation may be directly saved in the microprocessor's condition code"; however, the feature is old and well known in the art. For example, Mahalingaiah discloses an "apparatus and method for efficiently calculating a linear address in a microprocessor".

Application/Control Number: 09/933,847 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

Also, see col. 2, lines 27-47. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Mahalingaiah in Nagafuji, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device is an ALU having condition codes as claimed.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,847

Art Unit: 2193

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner